## Heparin Induced Thrombocytopenia

Angela Foley, MS, MLS(ASCP)SH
Associate Professor
LSUHSC School of Allied Health Professions
New Orleans, LA

## **Objectives**

- Differentiate immune vs nonimmune HIT
- **▶** Contrast UFH vs LMWH
- Identify laboratory tests used to detect HIT
- Discuss alternative anticoagulant treatment options for patients with HIT

### Heparin

- Therapeutic anticoagulant for treatment and prevention of thrombosis
- Extracted from porcine or beef intestinal mucosa.





## Types of Heparin

- Unfractionated Heparin (UH or UFH)
  - Isolated from liver in1916 by Jay McLean and William Howell (Johns Hopkins University)
  - Available for medical use since 1937
- ▶ Low Molecular Weight Heparin (LMWH)
- Derived from UFH
- Available for medical use since 1993
- 1998 in US

## Unfractionated Heparin (UFH)

- Heterogeneous mixture of sulfated polysaccharide (glycosaminoglycan)
- 4,000 35,000 Daltons
- ▶ Binds to Antithrombin (AT)
  - via unique pentasaccharide sequence
  - enhances ability of AT to inactivate Xa, Ila (thrombin), and other serine proteases
- Administered IV
  - CABG surgery, angioplasty, stent placement, orthopedic surgery
- Can also be administered SubQ
  - Treatment of VTE





## **UFH**


- ► Can be monitored by daily with APTT (1.5-2.5 times normal)
  - · Inexpensive and readily available
- Can also monitor using anti-Xa assay and Activated Clotting Time (surgical arena)
- Can be neutralized easily by protamine sulfate
- ▶ Relatively inexpensive
- Can be used on dialysis patients
  - Not excreted by kidneys


## Disadvantages of UH

- · Great variability in patient response
- Inhibited by PF4
- Short half-life
- Can bind to other plasma proteins and endothelium
  - · Adds to short plasma half-life problem
- · Difficult to monitor accurately with APTT
- Can be associated with
  - Osteoporosis with long-term use
  - · Heparin Induced Thrombocytopenia (HIT)

## Low Molecular Weight Heparin (LMWH)

- Derived commercially by chemical or enzymatic fractionation of UFH
- Smaller molecule than UFH
  - Short chains of polysaccharides
  - ∘ <8000 Daltons
- Brands available in US
  - Lovenox® (Enoxaparin) 1998 (Clexane®)
- Fragmin\* (Dalteparin) 1999
- ∘Innohep® (Tinzaparin) 2000





## **LMWH**

- Administered SubQ
- Preferentially enhances inhibition of Xa and to a lesser extent thrombin (IIa)
- Safer to use in settings when less anticoagulant effect is needed
- VTE prevention
- Treatment of DVT and PE
- Usually does not require monitoring

#### LMWH

- Fewer side effects
  - Reduced interference with platelet function and vascular permeability
  - Less non-specific binding to proteins and cell surfaces
- Easier to calculate dosage established by weight-based nomograms
- More predictable response
- ▶ Longer plasma half-life
- Resists inhibition by PF4
- ▶ Frequency of HIT is < 1%

## Disadvantages of LMWH

- Higher doses, long term use or use during pregnancy may require some monitoring
- Must use chromogenic anti-Xa assay to measure/monitor
- Much more expensive than APTT
- Not available in all labs
- Mainly eliminated by kidneys
  - Problem for patients with end-stage renal disease

## HIT

- Complication of heparin therapy (Usually UFH)
- ▶Two types
  - ∘Type 1
- ∘Type 2

## Type 1

- → Non-immune
- Presents within first 2 days after heparin exposure
- ▶ Thrombocytopenia usually mild
- Platelet count will normalize with continued heparin therapy
- Results from direct effect of heparin on platelet activation

## Type 2

- Immune mediated
- Typical presentation
- 4 10 days after heparin exposure
- Rapid onset presentation
  - Fall in platelet count in first 24 hours
- Not a new immune response
  - Patient already has circulating HIT antibodies associated with recent heparin exposure (past 100 days)

## Type 2 (cont.)

- Spontaneous
  - Typical clinical and lab picture without heparin exposure
    - PF4 binds to non-heparin platelet polysaccharides (e.g. chrondroitin sulfate)
  - · Activate platelets even when no heparin is present
- Delayed-onset HIT presentation
  - Thrombocytopenia is delayed for up to 3 weeks post heparin
  - · Antibodies activate platelets in absence of heparin
- Thrombosis and thrombocytopenia without proximate heparin exposure
- Persistant HIT low platelets for >30d post heparin

## HIT Type 2


- Has life and limb threatening thrombotic complications
- Term HIT generally refers to Type 2

## Signs of HIT

- Decrease in platelet count moderate to severe
- Fall in count >50% of baseline count even if count remains above 150,000/uL
- Necrotic skin lesions at heparin

injection site






## Signs of HIT (cont.)

- ▶ Venous thrombosis -DVT/PE
- Venous limb gangrene
- Especially DVT patients with HIT who are started on warfarin
  - Can lead to severe Protein C/Protein S depletion with likely loss of limb
    - Activated Protein C with cofactor Protein S are Vitamin K dependent inhibitors of clotting






## Consequenses of Type 2 HIT

- Venous thromboembolism
- Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT)
- Pulmonary Embolism (PE)
- Arterial thrombosis less common
  - Myocardial Infarction (MI)
- NOTE:
  - Disorder is sometimes referred to as HITT
  - · Heparin Induced Thrombocytopenia Thrombosis

## Pathophysiology of HIT

- ▶ Platelet Factor 4(PF4)
- $\circ$  Released from plt  $\alpha$ -granules during activation
- · Binds to heparin and forms complex
- Can neutralize heparin-like molecules on endothelial cells
- ▶ IgG antibodies form to PF4-Heparin complexes
  - Seen in 90% of patients with clinical HIT diagnosis
- Antibodies bind to PF4-Heparin complex on platelet surface and activate platelets
- Can also be found in patients exposed to heparin but without clinical manifestations of HIT
- Much more likely to occur with UFH than LMWH



### **Epidemiology**

- About 12 million people in US have some heparin exposure yearly (1/3 of all hospitalized patients)
- Frequency of HIT
  - 1 5% in patients on IV UFH\*
- <0.1% in patients receiving subQ UFH
- Overall risk
  - ~0.2% of hospitalized heparin-exposed patients

\*More common in surgical patients receiving prolonged post op thromboprophylaxis (e.g. for 10-14 days post orthopedic or CABG/valve replacement surgery)

#### Mortality/Morbidity in HIT Patients

- ▶ Thrombotic complications in ~30%
- → Overall mortality ~20%
  - Recent improvements in early diagnosis - better prognosis
- ▶~10% require amputations or suffer other major morbidity

## Race/Sex/Age

- Nonwhites
  - 2 3 times more likely to progress to HIT-associated thrombotic outcome
- · Less risk than women
- · Difference in risk is most striking in UFH treated women vs men
- No relationship between sex and risk for HIT in patients treated with LMWH
   Better to use LMWH for surgical thromboprophylaxis in women?
- Retrospective study of 408 patients with HIT
- 66% were >60

## Summary of increased risk for HIT

- **▶ UFH vs LMWH**
- ▶ IV vs SubQ heparin
- Longer duration of heparin use
- → Surgical (esp cardiac, ortho) vs medical patient
- Female
- Over 60

## Diagnosing HIT

- ▶ 4T's score
  - Thrombocytopenia
  - Timing of thrombocytopenia relative to heparin exposure
  - Thrombosis or other sequelae of HIT
  - Likelihood of oTher causes of thrombocytopenia

#### 4 T's Score

Feature	2 points	1 point	0 points
Thrombocytopenia	>50% drop <b>AND</b> nadir >20,000	30%-50% drop <b>OR</b> nadir 10-19,000	>30% drop <b>OR</b> nadir <10,000
Timing of platelet count fall	5-10 days <b>OR</b> fall ≤1 day if heparin exposure in past 30 days	5 –10 days fall but not clear; <b>OR</b> ≤1 day fall if heparin exposure 30–100 days ago	Platelet count fall in <4 days without recent heparin exposure
Thrombosis or other sequelae	New thrombosis <b>OR</b> skin necrosis; acute systemic reaction after IV UHF bolus	Progressive <b>OR</b> recurrent thrombosis; erythematous skin lesions	None
OTher causes of thrombocytopenia	None apparent	Possible	Definite

Warkentin et al Br J Haematol 2003

## Total scores and HIT probability

- ▶ 0 3; Low probability
- ∘ Negative predictive value 0.998
- Might exclude HIT without further lab testing and heparin can be continued
- ▶ 4 5; Intermediate probability
- ∘ ~10-14% chance of HIT
- ▶ 6 8; High probability
  - ∘ ~64% chance of HIT

## Overdiagnosis of HIT?

- Thrombocytopenia is common in hospitalized patients, esp. in ICU
- Retrospective study of surgical intensive care unit patients
  - 8.6% of patients with low-probability 4T scores (0-3) were positive for HIT with lab testing
  - 57% of patients with high-probability 4T scores (6-8) were HIT negative
- Conclusion
  - Testing or treatment for HIT should NOT depend on 4T score alone

#### HIT Expert Probability score (HEP)

- More detailed
- Improved diagnostic utility of 4T score
- Shown to be100% sensitive and 60% specific for HIT
- Better correlation with serologic HIT testing
- Not yet multicenter validated

## **Complicating Conditions**

- ▶ Septicemia
- DIC
- **▶ ITP**
- **▶** TTP
- HUS
- Liver disease with hypersplenism
- Transfusion reactions

# Medications known to cause decreased plts

▶ GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors

• IV plt aggregation inhibitors (Abciximab, Eptifibatide)

\*\*Transport of the International Control of

## Medications known to cause decreased plts (cont.)

- Quinine and other antimalarial drugs
- Rifampicin, sulfur drugs and other antibiotics
- Gold salts and other heavy metals
- Sedatives and anticonvulsants
- Salicylates and other analgesics

#### Diagnostic Approach Considerations

- Timing of onset
  - Decrease in plt count begins 5 14 days post start of heparin treatment
- Severity of thrombocytopenia
- · Usually mild to moderate
- Plt count rarely <15,000/uL
- Large-vessel venous or arterial thrombosis
  - Thrombosis precedes thrombocytopenia in up to 25% of patients with HIT

### **Heparin Treatment Monitoring**

- ▶ Baseline platelet count
- Follow-up counts based on patient risk for HIT
  - Risk > 1% (UFH post cardiac or ortho surgery)
  - Plt count every 2 3 days from day 4 14 or until heparin is stopped
  - Risk < 1% (LMWH)
  - ACCP suggests no plt count monitoring needed
- If count falls by >50% and/or thrombotic event occurs
  - Perform diagnostic tests for HIT
  - DC heparin?
  - · Depending on 4T score

## Diagnostic Tests

- Non-functional Immunoassays
  - ∘ ELISA
- Functional assays
  - Seratonin Release Assay (SRA)
- Heparin-Induced Platelet Aggregation assay (HIPA)
- Imaging studies

## NOTE

- Really is NO Gold Standard laboratory test for diagnostic confirmation HIT
- HIT requires a *clinical* diagnosis

## **Immunoassays**

- **▶ ELISA** 
  - Widely available
  - Rapid turn around time
  - High sensitivity (99%)
  - $^{\circ}$  Poor specificity (30 70%)

#### **ELISA Procedure**

- PF4 and heparin are coated to surfaces of microplate wells
  - · Patient serum or plasma is added to wells
  - · Antibody (if present) adhers to PF4-Heparin complex
  - · Plate wells are washed
- Enzyme-labeled monoclonal antibodies to human IgG (and IgM) are added and incubated
  - · Plate is washed
- Chromogenic substrate is added
  - Color development in well is positive test for heparin induced antibodies
    - OD ≥2
  - 90% probability of strong positive SRA result
  - OD 0.4 to <1
    - 5% or lower probability of positive with SRA

#### ELISA (cont.)

- Non functional assay
- $\,{}^{\circ}$  Can detect antibodies that are not pathologic
- · Biologic false positive
- Kits which detect ONLY IgG antibodies have better correlation with Seratonin Release Assays (SRA)
- Less labor intensive than SRA
- Does not require blood from healthy drugfree donors
- · Can be performed in most labs

## **Functional Assays**

- ▶ Seratonin Release Assay (SRA)
  - HIT antibodies cause platelets to aggregate and release serotonin
  - Most sensitive
  - Availability largely restricted to HIT focused research centers

#### HIPA

- · Heparin-Induced Platelet Aggregation assay
- · Highly specific but less sensitive than SRA

#### **SRA**

- Normal donor platelets are radiolabeled with \*14-C serotonin and then washed
- Washed \*14-C seratonin plts + patient serum + low (therapeutic) and high heparin concentrations
- ▶ Positive test
  - > 20% serotonin release at low heparin dose (0.1 U/mL heparin)
- Considered gold standard assay
- Sensitivity 69% to 94%
- Specificity may be as high as 100%
- Technically demanding, costly, uses radioisotopes

#### **HIPA**

- Patient serum is mixed with donor platelets in presence of heparin
- Donor plt aggregation indicates presence of antibodies to heparin-PF4 complex
- Sensitivity varies from 39% to 81%
- ▶ Specificity varies from 82% to 100%
- One study of 146 patients
  - More sensitive than ELISA for lab confirmation of
  - Neither HIPA nor ELISA predicted thrombotic risk

## **Imaging Studies**


- DVT can be silent
- Ultrasonography even in absence of clinical evidence may be considered







Pulmonary embolus (PE) located in the proximal pulmonary artery (PA) as seen on spiral CT.



## Alternative Parenteral Anticoagulants (IV or injection)

- Direct Thrombin Inhibitors
  - Argatroban (Acova®)
  - FDA approved for prophylaxis and treatment of thrombosis and during coronary angioplasty in HIT patients
  - Good for dialysis patients
  - · Bivalirudin (Angiomax®)
    - FDA approved for patients undergoing PCI or cardiac cath who have or who are at risk for HIT
  - Lepirudin (Refludan®)
  - Discontinued in 2012

## Alternative Parenteral Anticoagulants (cont.)

- → Xa Inhibitors
  - Fondaparinux (Atrixa®)
  - not FDA approved for use in HIT but considered to be important treatment option especially for pregnant women (doesn't cross placenta)
  - · Off-label use
  - Danaparoid (Orgaran®)
  - · not marketed in US since 2004

## Alternative Oral Anticoagulants

- → Warfarin (Coumadin)
  - Monitored with PT/INR
  - Don't start with HIT patients until platelet count >150,000/uL and adequate alternative parenteral anticoagulation has been provided
- Direct Oral Anticoagulants (DOACs)
  - · Direct Thrombin Inhibitor
  - Dabigatran (Pradaxa®)
  - · Xa Inhibitors
  - · RivaroXaban (XareIto®)
  - · ApiXaban (Eliquis®)
  - EdoXaban (Savaysa®)

DOACs not fully assessed for HIT treatment None have FDA approval for use in HIT Can't be used for patients with kidney failure

## Managing patient with history of HIT

- Treatment/prevention of VTE or management of Acute Coronary Syndrome
  - Use alternative anticoagulants in patients with persistent HIT antibodies
- However, UFH is clear anticoagulant of choice for 3 patient populations
- Cardiac surgery
- Vascular surgery
- · Hemodialysis

## Consequenses of missed diagnosis or misdiagnosis?

- Missed diagnosis
  - Increases risk of thrombosis, amputation or death
- Misdiagnosis can result in
  - Major hemorrhage
    - Thrombocytopenic patients treated with alternative anticoagulants
  - Thrombosis
  - Heparin treatment suspended unnecessarily

#### Case 1

- → 75 year old Hawaiian-Chinese female
- History of aortic stenosis, renal disease and hypertension
- Presented with pitting edema of lower legs
- Cardiac cath procedure
  - Showed severe aortic stenosis, aortic and mitral regurgitatio
  - Received flushes of 250 units UFH in venous and arterial sheaths
- Underwent cardiac surgery 10 days later
  - · Aortic valve replacement
  - Intraaortic balloon pump (IABP)
  - Received 32,000 units UFH

<u>J Med Case Reports.</u> 2007; 1: 13.
Severe heparin-induced thromocytopenia: when the obvious is not obvious, a case reporterable in Comack and Larry J Kaufman

#### Case 1 (cont.)

- → Pre-op platelet count 108,000/uL
- Platelet count dropped to 25,000/uL by 3<sup>rd</sup> day post op
  - Attributed to IABP\*
  - IABP was removed
- Thrombocytopenia continued
- Refractory to plt transfusions over several days
- Renal function deteriorated
  - CVVHD\*\*
  - · Heparin-flushed dialysis catheter was placed
    - · additional heparin exposure in tubing

\*Intra-Aortic Balloon Pump

\*\*Continuous VenoVenous HemoDialysis

#### 4 T's Score

Feature	2 points	1 point	0 points
Thrombocytopenia	>50% drop AND nadir >20,000	30%-50% drop OR nadir 10-19,000	>30% drop OR nadir < 10,000
Timing of platelet count fall	5-10 days OR fall ≤1 day if heparin exposure in past 30 days	5 –10 days fall but not clear; OR ≤1 day fall if heparin exposure 30–100 days ago	Platelet count fall in <4 days without recent heparin exposure
Thrombosis or other sequelae	New thrombosis OR skin necrosis; acute systemic reaction after IV UHF bolus	Progressive OR recurrent thrombosis; erythematous skin lesions	None
OTher causes of thrombocytopenia	None apparent	Possible	Definite

## Case 1 (cont.)

- ▶ 7 days post-op
- Plt count 43,000/uL despite 48 units of plts
- Differential diagnosis
- Sepsis related DIC
- Accelerated plt removal 20 to CVVHD
- Right hand cyanosis developed
  - · Attributed to right radial arterial catheter
  - Removed
- All toes and fingers showed severe ischemic changes
- ▶ 2 days later
- Plt count dropped to 8,000/uL

Gangrenous right hand and left foot as they appeared on hospital day #15.



#### 4 T's Score

Feature	2 points	1 point	0 points
Thrombocytopenia	>50% drop AND nadir >20,000	30%-50% drop OR nadir 10-19,000	>30% drop OR nadir < 10,000
Timing of platelet count fall	5-10 days OR fall ≤1 day if heparin exposure in past 30 days	5 –10 days fall but not clear; OR ≤1 day fall if heparin exposure 30–100 days ago	Platelet count fall in <4 days without recent heparin exposure
Thrombosis or other sequelae	New thrombosis OR skin necrosis; acute systemic reaction after IV UHF bolus	Progressive OR recurrent thrombosis; erythematous skin lesions	None
OTher causes of thrombocytopenia	None apparent	Possible	Definite

## Case 1 (cont.)

- **▶ FINALLY** 
  - · Critical care specialist joined team
  - · Ordered heparin-PF4 ELISA test
  - Strongly POSITIVE
  - Patient started on argatroban
  - 6 days post argatroban
  - Platelet count was >100,000/uL
  - Started on warfarin with goal of INR of 2 3
  - Argatroban discontinued after 5 day overlap

#### Case 1 (cont.)

- ▶ 27 days in intensive care
- No additional thromboses
- Required bilateral mid-foot amputations and amputations of all fingers of right hand

## Case 1 (cont.)

- ▶ Reasons for misdiagnosis
  - 1. Plausable alternative explanations for thrombocytopenia
    - · Presence of the IABP
    - Presence of sepsis, CVVHD\*
  - 2. Rapid-onset presentation
    - Usually platelet count drop happens 5 10 days after heparin initiation
    - · Drop occurred on day 3 of heparin reexposure

### Case 1 (cont.)

- Should have
  - Immediately ceased all heparin including flushes and LMWH
  - Started argatraban
  - lepirudin (available at this time) was contraindicated due to acute renal failure

## Case Study 2 - Patient with remote history of HIT requiring urgent cardiac surgery

- 51 year old male with history of Hereditary Erythroblastic Multinuclearity associated with a Positive Acidified Serum Test (HEMPAS)
- Developed severe HIT (heparin reexposure)
  - Strongly positive for HIT antibodies
- Treated successfully with danaparoid
- → 3 years later
  - $\circ$  Developed acute pulmonary edema  $2^{0}$  to flail mitral valve
  - · Required urgent cardiac surgery
  - No time to perform repeat HIT antibody testing prior to surgery

#### What treatment was recommended?

- HIT antibodies are remarkably transient
  - Non-detectable 40 100 days post HIT episode (SRA vs ELISA-IgG)
- Probability of HIT antibodies being present after 3 years negligible
- ▶ Recommendation
  - ${\scriptstyle \circ}$  Usual intraoperative anticoagulation with UFH
  - Post-op anticoagulation with danaparoid (Orgaran)
  - · Xa inhibitor
  - · Not available in US since 2012
  - · This patient was treated in Canada

#### Case 3

- → 70 year old woman
- 4 days post discharge following laparotomy for perforated duodenal ulcer with peritonitis
- Complaints of right-sided pleuritic chest pain
  - · Started day after discharge
  - Associated with productive cough of whitish sputum
- ▶ Chills but no fever
- ▶ SOB

## Case 3(cont.)

- Physical exam revealed obese woman in mild distress
- Lung fields had decreased air entry bilaterally, right side>left
- Metabolic panel essentially normal
- CBC
- WBC 16,000/uL with 83% neutrophils
- Hgb 10 g/dL
- Hct 29.5%
- Plt ct 170,000/uL
- Ct scan pleural effusion
- → Chest X-ray pneumonia in right lung

## Case 3(cont.)

- Diagnosed with hospital acquired pneumonia
- > Treated with IV fluids and antibiotics
- Day 2
  - Improved symptoms
- ImpiCBC
- WBC 8,000/uL
- Hgb 8.6g/dL
- Hct 26%
- Plt ct 118,000/uL
- · CT scan improving pleural effusion
- $\,{}^{\circ}$  In evening patient complained of left knee pain

## Case 3(cont.)


- > PE revealed erythema around left knee
- Patient denied trauma
- · Stated flow-tron was a little tight
- · Flow-tron was loosened
- Tylenol given for pain
- One hour later
  - $\,{}^{\circ}$  Entire left leg noted to be swollen and tender
- $\,{}^{_{\circ}}$  Diagnosed with DVT
- Started on heparin infusion

#### 4 T's Score

Feature	2 points	1 point	0 points
Thrombocytopenia	>50% drop AND nadir >20,000	30%-50% drop OR nadir 10-19,000	>30% drop OR nadir <10,000
Timing of platelet count fall	5-10 days OR fall ≤1 day if heparin exposure in past 30 days	5 –10 days fall but not clear; OR ≤1 day fall if heparin exposure 30–100 days ago	Platelet count fall in <4 days without recent heparin exposure
Thrombosis or other sequelae	New thrombosis OR skin necrosis; acute systemic reaction after IV UHF bolus	Progressive OR recurrent thrombosis; erythematous skin lesions	None
OTher causes of thrombocytopenia	None apparent	Possible	Definite

## Case 3(cont.)

- Day 5
  - Acute thrombosis of left common femoral, superficial femoral, popliteal, tibial and saphenous veins with absence of flow
- Right popliteal vein also showed chronic recanalized thrombosis
- · CBC
- WBC 9900/uL
- Hgb 8.5 g/dLHct 24.7%
- Plt ct 89,000/uL
- · 170,000 on admission · SRA - 100%



### Case 3(cont.)

- ▶ Patient diagnosed with HIT
- ▶ Started on Lepirudin (Refludan®)
  - o DTI
  - Not available since 2012
- ▶ Leg swelling improved
- ▶ Platelet count rose to 197,000/uL

## Case 3(cont.)

- Diagnosis of HIT
  - Thrombocytopenia post heparin exposure
  - o DVT
  - Positive SRA
  - HIT score of 7 High probability

## Case Study 4

- ▶ 55 year old female
- Admitted to hospital for coronary artery bypass surgery
- Had mild myocardial infarction 3 years previously and was treated with heparin therapy for 5 days without complications

## Pre-op Lab Results

 WBC
 8200/μL

 RBC
 4.8 x 106/μL

 Hgb
 13.5 g/dL

 Hct
 41%

 Plt
 265x10³/μL

 PT
 11.5 sec

 APTT
 36 sec

## Case Study 4

- Patient underwent bypass surgery with associated heparin therapy
- 2 days post surgery patient complained of left leg pain and chest discomfort
- ▶ Thrombotic evaluation revealed DVT
- Ventilation-perfusion scan indicated a perfusion defect in right lung suggesting possible PE

#### 4 T's Score

Feature	2 points	1 point	0 points
Thrombocytopenia??	>50% drop AND nadir >20,000	30%-50% drop OR nadir 10-19,000	>30% drop OR nadir < 10,000
Timing of platelet count fall	5-10 days OR fall ≤1 day if heparin exposure in past 30 days	5 –10 days fall but not clear; OR ≤1 day fall if heparin exposure 30–100 days ago	Platelet count fall in <4 days without recent heparin exposure
Thrombosis or other sequelae	New thrombosis OR skin necrosis; acute systemic reaction after IV UHF bolus	Progressive OR recurrent thrombosis; erythematous skin lesions	None
oTher causes of thrombocytopenia??	None apparent	Possible	Definite

## Case Study 4

- Heparin was continued
- ▶ 7 days post-op
  - Left lower leg became blue and swollen
  - $\circ$  Platelet count dropped to 50  $\times 10^3/\mu L$
  - Diagnosis?

4 T's Score			
Feature	2 points	1 point	0 points
Thrombocytopenia??	>50% drop AND nadir >20,000	30%-50% drop OR nadir 10-19,000	>30% drop OR nadir < 10,000
Timing of platelet count fall	5-10 days OR fall ≤1 day if heparin exposure in past 30 days	5 –10 days fall but not clear; OR ≤1 day fall if heparin exposure 30–100 days ago	Platelet count fall in <4 days without recent heparin exposure
Thrombosis or other sequelae	New thrombosis OR skin necrosis; acute systemic reaction after IV UHF bolus	Progressive OR recurrent thrombosis; erythematous skin lesions	None
oTher causes of thrombocytopenia??	None apparent	Possible	Definite
thrombocytopenia!!			




## Case Study 4 (cont)

- Left leg was determined to be nonviable and was amputated below the knee
- Maintenance therapy with warfarin was started
- ▶ Patient was discharged

## What Should Have Happened?

- Platelet count should have been more carefully monitored
- Heparin probably should have been discontinued immediately when DVT was diagnosed
- Alternative anticoagulation started
   Bilvalirudin or Argatraban
- ▶ ELISA ordered



## References

Cohen, R.A., Castellano, M., Garcia, C.A. "Heparin Induced Thrombocytopenia: Case Presentation and Review." Journal of Clinical Medicine Research, 2012 Feb; 4(1): 68–72. LaMonte M P, Brown P M, Hursting M J. Stroke in patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia and the effect of argatroban therapy. Crit Care Med 200432976–980. [PubMed]
Sancar, Eke., Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia, https://lemedicline.medscape.com/article/1357846-print, updated April 24, 2018. Smythe MA, et al, The incidence of recognized heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in a large, teriary care teaching hospital. Chez. 2007 Jun. 131(6):1644–9.
Riley, Paul. "Current Anticoagulation Monitoring and Measurement. "Lab Management, 2018 Aug. 50(8):36–38.
Warkentin, Theodore E., Anderson, Julie A.M. "How I Treat Patients with a History of HIT." Blood, 2016.
Warkentin T E, Aird W C, Rand J H. Platelet-endothelial interactions: sepsis, HIT, and antiphospholipid syndrome. Hematology (American Society of Hematology Education Program) 2003497–519. [PubMed]