

Technology Fee Committee FY26 Meeting #2
March 13, 2025
Minutes

Committee Members Present: Jeri Brumfield, Erin Dugan, Ana Nacianceno, Susan Ragusa, Amber Weydert

Not present: Marilyn Viverito

Guest Speaker: Kevin Lord (Exxat discussion)

Administrative Staff Present: Pam Galindo

A. The Committee approved the following change to the Feb 13, 2025 minutes:

We changed "**The committee approved the following:**" to:

"The committee conditionally approved the following:"

What followed the above text was the list of proposals approved for funding.

B. Exxat is approved for schoolwide deployment.

Last year the committee designated a percentage of the technology fee to each department's clinical rotation program and then used the remaining technology fee funds across other items requested by the departments.

C. Reminder: SGA determined the priority levels of this year's proposals by assigning colors on the proposal spreadsheet. Yellow highlighting is high priority. Green highlighting is medium priority. No highlight is low priority. Red print was used to designate approved items in the first-round review. Blue highlighting was used to designate approved items in the final round of review.

Revised proposal for funds:

1) Exxat – 30% of cost \$12,000

Remaining funds to be used for the following:

2) Simucase \$4420
OT, software subscription

3) SonoSim \$8500
All Departments, probes

4) Osmosis \$14,000
PA, software subscription

5) Respondus Monitor \$2000
IT, software subscription

6) International Clinical Educators (ICE) OT, software subscription	\$770
7) Technology Bundle COMD, software subscription	\$3400
8) Nine Meeting Owls All Departments, conference cameras	\$9441
9) Monitor, stand, and transmitter for hybrid courses MLS	\$3000
Total:	\$57,531

The committee approved the above list.

The committee agreed some or all “Equipment” items not approved for technology fee funding should be presented for consideration to the Educational Resource Fund.

D. Note for future proposals:

Many proposals on the spreadsheet were for equipment as opposed to technology. Be sure to distinguish between equipment requests and true technology requests when evaluating future proposals.